PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

SPIEDigitalLibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie

Influence of exchange of air masses on aerosol extinction at the measurement point under winter conditions

Uzhegov, Victor, Belan, Boris, Pkhalagov, Yurii, Shchelkanov, Nikolay

Victor N. Uzhegov, Boris D. Belan, Yurii A. Pkhalagov, Nikolay N. Shchelkanov, "Influence of exchange of air masses on aerosol extinction at the measurement point under winter conditions," Proc. SPIE 2506, Air Pollution and Visibility Measurements, (20 September 1995); doi: 10.1117/12.221050



Event: European Symposium on Optics for Environmental and Public Safety, 1995, Munich, Germany

Influence of exchange of air masses on aerosol extinction at the measurment point under winter condition

Victor N. Uzhegov, Boris D. Belan, Yury A. Pkhalagov, and Nikolay N. Shchelkanov

Institute of Atmospheric Optics,

Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Tomsk

ABSTRACT

In this paper we discuss statistical properties of aerosol extinction of visible and IR radiation from field measurements of spectral transmission of the atmosphere along a horizontal path. The measurements have been carried out in winter under three different types of optical weather (haze, ice mist, and snowfall). We also compare in this paper the spectral behavior of the aerosol extinction coefficients measured in Tomsk and near Moscow.

1.INTRODUCTION

One of the urgent problems of atmospheric optics is obtaining of statistically supported data on optical characteristics of ground aerosol, in particular, spectral coefficients of aerosol extinction in different climatic regions. The importance of these data is determined, first of all, by ecological and climatic problems as well as different applied aspects related to operation of different optical instrumentation through the atmosphere. The possibility of recording aerosol pollution, its evolution and destruction, establishment of pollution temporal trends, etc., makes it possible to solve a lot of ecological problems. The statistically supported data on aerosol extinction are also needed for taking account of aerosol component in climatic problems. Most experimental data on aerosol extinction coefficients in the visible and IR ranges obtained in different regions of the former USSR are related to warm seasons. 1-4 The conduction of such experiments. 5,6 To make these data more numerous, the 24-hour observations of spectral transmittance of the atmosphere were made near Tomsk from the 3rd to 22nd of December, 1992.

2. INSTRUMENTATION

The measurements were carried out along the horizontal path with 1-km reflection (the distance to reflecting mirror was 500 m) using an automated multiwave meter of spectral transmittance of the atmosphere.⁷ The scheme of the measuring system is shown in Fig. 1. Such a system for the wavelength range 0.44–12 μ m was designed and produced at the Institute of Atmospheric Optics in 1991. It incorporated multiwave transmission meters for the wavelength range 0.44–1.06 μ m (MTM–1) and for the wavelength range 1.06–12.0 μ m (MTM–2). The measurement periodicity was 2 hr. We obtained 199 realizations of spectral coefficients of aerosol extinction (α_k) at 22 discrete points of the spectral range between 0.44 and 12.4 μ m.3.

<u>3. METEOROLOGICAL CONDITIONS OF THE ATMOSPHERE</u>

Visual observations of the state of the atmosphere as well as *a posteriori* analysis of spectral behavior of the coefficients α_k made after preliminary processing of measurement results made it possible to divide the entire data array into four subarrays: snowfall (n = 79 cases), ice mist (35), dense haze (7), and haze (59). It should be noted that the first two types of optical weather were determined visually, whereas the haze and dense haze were determined after preliminary analysis of the experimental data. It should also be noted that the term <ice mist> symbolizes the presence of minor ice crystals formed at temperature lower than - 12°C and relative humidity of 70–90% under clear anticyclonic weather.⁵ The largest particles of ice mist are well observed in the Sun rays.



Fig. 1 Block diagram of the multiwave meter of spectral atmospheric transmission: 1) SIRSh 6×100 photometric lamp, 2) modulator of the MTM–1, 3) MTO–1000 transmitting objective, 4 and 6) flat mirrors, 5) switch of the operating and control channels of the MTM–1, 7) MTO–1000 receiving objective, 8) field stop of the MTM–1, 9) turret with interference filters of the MTM–1, 10) motor, 11) FEU–28 photomultiplier, 12) globar, 13) modulator of the MTM–2, 14) blind of the MTM–2 control channel, 15) cutoff filter, 16) lightquide, 17) transmitting off–axis parabolic mirror 250 mm in diameter, 18) receiving spherical mirror 250 mm in diameter, 19) flat mirror, 20) field stop of the MTM–2, 21) turret with interference of the MTM–2, 22) motor, 23) elliptic mirror, and 24) BM6–K1 bolometer.

Since the air temperature is, according to Ref. 5, a criterion which makes it possible to distinguish between the ice mist and haze, it is interesting to consider the probability of appearance of these two types of optical weather in the given temperature interval as well as at the given absolute and relative air numidity of the atmosphere the observations of which were made simultaneously with measurements of optical characteristics. This information on air temperature ($t^{\circ}C$), partial pressure of water vapours (e, mb), and relative air humidity (R, %) is given in Table I. As seen from the table, the ice mist is formed in the atmosphere, as a rule, at air temperature $t < -15^{\circ}C$ and relative humidity R = 80-100%. These estimates are in good agreement with those from Ref. 5 and make the boundaries of ice crystal formation more precise with respect to air humidity.

Type of optical weather	Air temperature ($t^{\circ}C$)						
	05	-5 🗆 -10	–10 🗆 –15	–15 🗆 –20	-2025	-2530	
Haze	6	25	11	12	3	2	
Ice mist	0 0		2	8	18	7	
	Relative humidity of air $(R, \%)$						
	<	80	81	- 90	91 -	- 100	
Haze		1		7		52	
Ice mist	0		1	11		24	
	Partial pressure of water vapors (å, mb)						
	0	- 2	2 -	- 4	4	- 6	
Haze		19		34		6	
Ice mist		33		2		0	

TABLE I. Number of cases of haze and ice mist in the given interval of atmospheric meteorological parameters

4. RESULTS OF THE MEASUREMENTS

Table II lists the results of calculating the statistical characteristics of the α_k arrays related to three types of optical weather, including mean values of α_k , rms errors σ_a , elements of autocorrelation matrix $\rho_{a(0.55), a(k)}$, $\rho_{k(1.06), a(k)}$, and $\rho_{a(3.9), a(k)}$ as well as the first three eigenvectors of the autocorrelation matrix $\varphi_k(\lambda)$. Only mean values of α_k were calculated for dense haze because of a limited number of cases.

The analysis of the averaged spectral dependences of the coefficients α for two types of optical weather, which are characterized by presence of <gigantic> particles of hydrosols (snowfall and ice mist) in the atmosphere, reveals that a pronounced decrease of the coefficients α with the wavelength increase is observed in the visible and IR spectral ranges. In particular, for snowfall this decrease occurs in the wavelength λ range between 0.44 and 0.87 µm. Such spectral dependence of the coefficients α_k strongly testifies to the fact that snowfalls are observed, as a rule, on the background of a usual atmospheric haze. In the IR range in snowfall the coefficients α_k tend to increase with the wavelength increase from 1 to 12 µm.

This tendency can be most probably explained by the fact that the receiving system recorded in snowfalls not only direct radiation but also radiation scattered in the forward direction, the role of which grows with the wavelength decrease.⁸ Nevertheless, the contribution of this effect in the visible spectral range turns out to be smaller than the extinction on a haze particles. In the ice mist at the wavelength between 0.44 and 1.6 μ m the coefficients α decrease with the wavelength increase, and in the range $\lambda > 1.6 \mu$ m the coefficients α are practically independent on λ . Such behavior of the dependence $\alpha(\lambda)$ indicates that the ice crystals in the atmosphere are also formed on the background of haze.

λ,	α _k ,	σ _a ,	$P_{\alpha}(\lambda_{L}) \alpha(\lambda)$			φ ₁ (λ)	$\varphi_2(\lambda)$	φ3(γ)
μm	km ⁻¹	km ⁻¹	λ_k ; 0.55	1.06	3.9			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Haze								
0.44	0.645	0.452	0.989	0.924	0.511	0.522	- 0.249	- 0.268
0.48	0.531	0.373	0.995	0.927	0,539	0.432	- 0.153	- 0.209
0.55	0.451	0.316	1	0.940	0.584	0.368	- 0.073	- 0.095
0.63	0.413	0.290	0.996	0.949	0.604	0.337	- 0.047	- 0.047
0.69	0.365	0.256	0.990	0.957	0.633	0.298	- 0.012	-0.001
0.87	0.274	0.184	0.951	0.958	0.710	0.209	0.083	0.079
1.06	0.315	0.217	0.940	1	0.649	0.244	0.024	0.493
1.22	0.277	0.196	0.895	0.976	0.686	0.212	0.087	0.650

TABLE II. Statistical characteristics of spectral coefficients of aerosol extinction (α_k) .

1	1 60	0 149	0 121	0 866	0 928	0.837	0.128	0.150	0.224
	2.17	0 1 1 3	0.093	0 720	0 784	0.944	0.083	0.207	0.109
	3 91	0.098	0.087	0 584	0.649	1	0.064	0.251	0.057
	4 69	0.114	0.085	0.736	0.787	0.865	0.077	0.156	0.076
	9.19	0.081	0.005	0.750	0.654	0.890	0.054	0.190	0.070
	0.10	0.081	0.075	0.308	0.034	0.026	0.053	0.107	0.090
	0.00	0.110	0.091	0.440	0.470	0.920	0.055	0.307	- 0.095
	9.12	0.114	0.099	0.435	0.498	0.940	0.050	0.323	- 0.000
	9.55	0.129	0.107	0.450	0.443	0.800	0.039	0.342	- 0.102
	10.34	0.085	0.079	0.355	0.352	0.801	0.030	0.204	- 0.128
	10.00	0.098	0.083	0.363	0.371	0.873	0.039	0.284	- 0.119
	11.21	0.103	0.086	0.399	0.409	0.872	0.044	0.289	- 0.123
	11.76	0.083	0.076	0.341	0.343	0.853	0.034	0.268	- 0.087
	12.19	0.115	0.094	0.431	0.436	0.851	0.052	0.299	- 0.151
					Ice mist				
	0.44	0.858	0.417	0.988	0.903	0.760	0.346	- 0.385	- 0.218
	0.48	0.742	0.380	0.992	0.914	0.776	0.319	- 0.329	- 0.196
	0.55	0.680	0.365	1	0.931	0.816	0.312	- 0.248	- 0.124
	0.63	0.659	0.345	0.992	0.931	0.819	0.295	-0.226	- 0.048
	0.69	0.613	0.332	0.988	0.949	0.843	0.287	- 0.182	- 0.033
	0. 87	0.538	0.278	0.951	0.968	0.872	0.238	- 0.081	0.261
	1.06	0.563	0.278	0.931	1	0.909	0.239	- 0.014	0.494
	1.22	0.517	0.278	0.877	0.974	0.921	0.232	0.069	0.578
	1.60	0.391	0.206	0.872	0.959	0.963	0.174	0.109	0.247
	2.17	0.361	0.193	0.826	0.927	0.985	0.160	0.160	0.157
	3.91	0.365	0.208	0.816	0.909	1	0.171	0.197	0.055
	4.69	0.350	0.195	0.773	0.847	0.957	0.154	0.202	- 0.078
	8.18	0.335	0.198	0.791	0.863	0.962	0.159	0.199	- 0.126
	8.66	0.372	0.211	0.785	0.858	0.981	0.169	0.235	- 0.085
	9.12	0.395	0.219	0.800	0.867	0.982	0.178	0.228	- 0.069
	9.55	0.375	0.221	0.760	0.796	0.968	0.174	0.256	- 0.072
	10.34	0.329	0.192	0.782	0.838	0.965	0.154	0.220	- 0.161
	10.66	0.348	0.201	0.776	0.832	0,964	0.160	0.230	- 0.176
	11.21	0.346	0.195	0.751	0.822	0.962	0.152	0.239	- 0.160
	11.76	0.316	0.196	0.750	0.819	0.955	0.152	0.239	- 0.141
	12.12	0.336	0.196	0.776	0.820	0.948	0.155	0.204	- 0.139
t					Snowfall				
ľ	0.44	1.104	0.598	0.951	0.910	0.825	0.177	-0.456	
	0.48	0.933	0.531	0.987	0.872	0.816	0.133	-0.379	
	0.55	0. 87 9	0.505	1	0.867	0.821	0.126	-0.370	
	0.63	0.853	0.494	0.985	0.853	0.832	0.123	-0.339	
	0.69	0.802	0.481	0.973	0.861	0.840	0.121	-0.316	
	0.87	0.741	0.489	0.953	0.897	0.875	0.126	-0.269	
	1.06	0.946	0.717	0.867	1	0.946	0.198	-0.124	
	1.22	0.932	0.714	0.861	0.978	0.911	0.191	-0.203	
	1.60	0.805	0.734	0.833	0.963	0.990	0.208	0.048	
	2.17	0.816	0.786	0.821	0.956	0,997	0.223	0.100	
	3.91	0.849	0.828	0.821	0.946	1	0.235	0.117	
	4.69	0.896	0.877	0.814	0.944	0.994	0.248	0.137	
	8.18	0.938	0.931	0.814	0.942	0.993	0.264	0.156	
	8.66	0.948	0.913	0.816	0.933	0.993	0.259	0.158	
	9.12	0.956	0.919	0.822	0.936	0.991	0.261	0.147	
	9.55	0.964	0.910	0.840	0.940	0.990	0.259	0.106	
I									

478 / SPIE Vol. 2506

10.34	0.918	0.890	0.829	0.934	0.987	0.255	0.128	
10.66	0.905	0.877	0.833	0.939	0.985	0.249	0.110	
11.21	0.919	0.899	0.835	0.948	0.980	0.255	0.088	
11.76	0.933	0.943	0.838	0.957	0.977	0.268	0.059	
12.19	0.940	0.934	0.827	0.956	0.966	0.264	0.057	

The analysis of the results listed in Table II shows that in snowfall, ice mist, and haze there is strong correlation between the coefficients of aerosol extinction in the visible and IR spectral ranges which is 0.33 for haze, 0.28 for snowfall, and 0.42 for ice mist. However, in hazes, in contrast to snowfall and ice mist, the correlation is destroyed substantially in the wavelength range $\lambda > 8 \mu m$ where the coefficients $\rho_{a(0.55), a(k)}$ are only 0.3–0.4.

The eigenvectors $\varphi_k(\lambda)$ and eigenvalues μ_k of the autocorrelation matrix $\rho_{a(k), a(k)}$ in conjunction with other statistical characteristics $\overline{\alpha}(\lambda)$ and $\sigma_{a(k)}$ are known⁹ to form a rational set of vector-matrix parameters for optimal (a minimum number of parameters for given accuracy of reconstruction) description of spectral structure of the aerosol extinction coefficients. The approximation of spectral coefficients α_k in this case can be represented as

$$\alpha \cdot_{\mathbf{k}}^{j} = \overline{\alpha}_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} C \cdot_{k}^{j} \varphi_{k,\mathbf{k}}, \qquad (1)$$

where m is dimensionality of the autocorrelation matrix (or a number of wavelengths λ), k is the number of the eigenvector $\varphi_{k,k}$, j is the number of case, and C_k are the expansion coefficients calculated by the formula

$$C_{k}^{j} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\alpha_{k_{i}}^{j} - \overline{\alpha}_{k_{i}} \right) \varphi_{k, k}, \qquad (2)$$

where *i* is the number of wavelength λ . The eigenvalues μ_k of the correlation matrix determine the variance of the expansion (2) coefficients:

$$\mu_k = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (C_k^j)^2, \qquad (3)$$

where N is the number of cases. The eigenvectors and eigenvalues of autocorrelation matrices were calculated by the Jacobi method.¹⁰ The calculation results of the first vectors $\varphi_{k,k}$ for three types of optical weather are listed in Table II. Table III gives the data on the value of relative stored variances γ_k calculated by the formula

$$\gamma_k = \sum_{i=1}^k \mu_i / \sum_{i=1}^m \mu_i , \qquad (4)$$

where k and m have the same sense as in Eqs. (1)–(3).

TABLE III. Relative value of stored variance γ_k .						
k	Haze	Ice mist	Snowfall			
1	0.888	0.882	0.947			
2	0.967	0.970	0.979			
3	0.985	0.983	0.987			

The analysis of calculation results obtained by formulas (1)-(4) and represented in Tables II and III enables one to note the following peculiarities. The spectral sign-constant variations of the coefficients α_k described with the first eigenvector forms 88% of variance for haze and ice mist and nearly 95% for snowfall. The spectral behavior of eigenvectors $\varphi_1(\lambda)$ for all three types of optical weather is similar to the wavelength dependence of the standard

deviation $\sigma_a(\lambda)$. The sign-changing variations of α_k (one change of sign) the character of which is described by the second eigenvector $\varphi_2(\lambda)$ are 8–9% of variance for haze and ice mist and only 3% for snowfall. The change of sign in the second eigenvector for the three types of optical weather occurs at different wavelengths and apparently depends on the presence of gigantic particles in the atmosphere. In haze, for which the content of such particles in air is minimum, the sign change in the vector $\varphi_2(\lambda)$ occurs between the wavelengths 0.69 and 0.84 µm. For snowfall (there present gigantic particles of hydrosols) the second vector changes its sign in the range between 1.25 and 1.6 µm. And under ice mist conditions with gigantic ice crystals (their size is smaller than that of snowflakes) the sign change in the vector $\varphi_2(\lambda)$ occurs in the intermediate region λ in comparision with haze and snowflakes) the sign change in 1.25 µm. Table II gives for haze and ice mist the third eigenvector which is characterized by two changes of sign. Though it possesses only ~1% of variance, its stable spectral behavior for these types of optical weather with pronounced maximum at ~1.25 µm can be resulted from variability of medium-dispersed aerosol particles whose variations are not correlated with sub-micron and gigantic particles. The spectral structure of the third eigenvector is not given for snowfall since it possesses less than 1% of variance.

In statistical analysis of the measurement results it is of interest to consider the coefficients of correlation between the values C_k and α_k . The results of ρ_{a_k,C_1} calculations are depicted in Figs. 2 *a*-*c*. The dashed lines denote the limits of significance level for the correlation coefficients for 35 cases corresponding to ice mist which are sufficiently close to the remaining types of turbidities.



Fig. 2. Spectral dependence of coefficients of correlation between aerosol extinction coefficients α_k and expansion coefficients C_k with respect to eigenvectors: first (a), second (b), and third (c) vector. The results correspond to three types of optical weather: haze (1), ice mist (2), and snowfall (3).

480 / SPIE Vol. 2506

The analysis of the results depicted in Figs. 2 *a*-*c* allows one to note the following peculiarities. Under snowfall the high level of correlation between the values α_k and C_1 (curve 3, Fig. 2*a*), particularly in the range $\lambda \ge 1.6 \,\mu\text{m}$ ($\rho_{a_k,C_1} \ge 0.98$), indicates that the first eigenvector $\varphi_{1,k}$ corresponds to variability of precipitation intensity. The second eigenvector $\varphi_{2,k}$ which is observed in this case only in the visible spectral range caused by the effect of haze particles. Hence, the effect of haze during snowfall is observed not only for mean values of α_k but on the correlation matrix as well.

In haze strong correlation between the values C_1 and α_k is observed only in the visible and near IR spectral range (curve *l*, Fig. 2) decreasing to the transmission window of 8–12 µm down to 0.3–0.5. This fact reveals that in haze, in contrast to snowfall, the first eigenvector corresponds to variability of finely dispersed aerosol particle fraction. Positive and sufficiently strong correlation between α_k and C_2 for haze in the IR spectral range (curve *l*, in Fig. 1*b*) can suggest that the second eigenvector in this optical situation can be connected with a coarse–dispersed aerosol particles fraction for which the concentration variations do not correlate with variability of finely dispersed particles of aerosol.

The spectral dependences of coefficient of correlation between α_k and C_1 and C_2 for ice mist (curves 2, Fig. 2 *a* and *b*) occupy an intermediate position between those for haze and snowfall. The form of correlation between α_k and C_1 enables one to assume that the ice mist by its optical manifestations is close to snowfall.

Figure 2*c* depicts the spectral dependence of coefficients of correlation between α_k and C_3 for haze (curve 1) and ice mist (curve 2). In spite of a small contribution of the third eigenvector to the stored variance (Table III), it is seen from Fig. 2*c* that maximum value ρ_{a_k} , C_3 at wavelength about 1.22 µm exceeds the level of significance of the correlation coefficient (a dashed line in Fig. 2*c*). The third eigenvector is, apparently, caused by variability of a medium-dispersed aerosol fraction which manifests between 1.06 and 1.22 µm and on the averaged dependences of α_k for these types of optical weather (Table II).

5 COMPARISION BETWEEN THE SPECTRAL DEPENDENCE OF AEROSOL EXTINCTION OBTAINED IN MEASUREMENTS AND THE RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS

As noted above, the measurement results of aerosol extinction of optical radiation in winter can be found in Refs. 5 and 6. Based on these measurements which were carried out near Moscow, the semiempirical models of reconstructing a spectral structure of aerosol extinction coefficients in winter were developed.¹¹ In this connection, it is of interest to compare our results with simulation ones from Ref. 11 for certain types of optical weather.

Fig. 3*a* depictes the comparison of measured (curves *l* and *2*) and calculated (*l'* and *2'*) values of α_k for ice mist. It is seen that the differences between α_k for light mist (S≈8 km) do not exceed 15% (curves *2* and *2'*) and substantially increase for more thick formations (S≈4 km) (curves *l* and *l'*). This fact indicates that the suggested model for ice mist¹¹ can be used only for weak turbidities where contribution of haze component is small. For thicker mist this model must be refined. Figure 3*b* represents the spectral dependence of aerosol extinction coefficients in a thick finely dispersed haze formed on the background of ice mist at air temperature *t*<-20°C (curve *l*) and in medium-thick haze (curve 2). Since based on classification¹¹ the curve *l*, with respect to the level of turbidity at the wavelength $\lambda = 0.55 \,\mu$ m most close corresponds to mist haze, we compared this curve with the model of mist haze (curve 1'). It is seen from this comparison that the model of mist haze used in this situation with the same visibility results in substantial underestimation of the coefficients α_k in the spectral dependence between α_k in the IR spectral range exceeds 100% which indicates that the measurements in Tomsk were made in the presence of more coarse-dispersed haze than that near Moscow.^{5,6} The comparison revealed that the available models of aerosol extinction for winter conditions need improvement based on further measurements.



Fig. 3. Comparison betwen the spectral dependence of averaged aerosol extinction coefficients α_k obtained in our measurements (1 and 2) and the results of simulating calculations¹¹ (1' and 2'). Experimental results obtained in ice mist (a) and haze (b) for two values of meteorological visual range.

REFERENCES

1. M.V. Kabanov, M.V. Panchenko, Yu.A. Pkhalagov, et al., Optical Properties of Coastal Atmospheric Hazes (Nauka, Novosibirsk, 1988), 201 pp.

2. V.L. Filippov, A.S. Makarov, and V.P.Ivanov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmos. Okeana 15, No. 3, 257-265 (1979).

3. N.N. Paramonova, A.M. Brounshtein, and V.I. Privalov, Tr. Gl. Geofiz. Obs., No. 496, 84-93 (1985).

4. A.I. Chavro, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmos. Okeana, No. 3, 270-276 (1985).

5. V.L. Filippov, L.M. Artem'eva, S.O. Mirumyants, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmos. Okeana 5, No. 9, 915–920 (1969).

6. V.L. Filippov and S.O. Mirumyants, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmos. Okeana 1, No. 7, 818 (1971).

7. Yu. A. Pkhalagov, V.N. Uzhegov, and N.N. Shchelkanov, Atmos. Oceanic Opt. 5, No. 6, 404–408 (1992).

8. M.V. Kabanov and Yu.A. Pkhalagov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Fiz. Atmos. Okeana 6, No. 2, 213-217 (1970).

9. A.M. Obukhov, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Geofiz. 1, No. 3, 432-439 (1960).

10. G. Kramer, Mathematical Methods of Statistics [Russian translation] (Mir, Moscow, 1975), 648 pp.

11. V.L. Filippov, A.S. Makarov, and V.P. Ivanov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 265, No. 6, 1353-1356 (1982).